

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 06 2004

## BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
2030 Addison Street, Seventh Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704  
Telephone: (510) 843-6200 Facsimile: (510) 843-6203  
[www.beyerlaw.com](http://www.beyerlaw.com)

OFFICIAL

### FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

July 6, 2004

**Receiver:** Examiner Quang D. Vu  
(USPTO Central Fax)

TEL #:

**FAX #:** 703-572-9306

**Sender:** Natalie Morgan for:  
Mary Ramos Olynick

Serial No. 09/648,095  
Our Ref. No.: KLA1P016F/P642

**Re:** Amendment E-Request for Reconsideration

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 6

**Fax Contents:** Fax Cover Sheet- 1 page  
Amendment Transmittal- 1 page  
Amendment E-Request for Reconsideration- 4 pages

#### MESSAGE:

---

#### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

---

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Satya, et al.

Attorney Docket No.: KLA1P016F/P642

Application No.: 09/648,095

Examiner: Quang D. Vu

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Filed: August 25, 2000

Group: 2811

JUL 06 2004


Title: CHEMICAL MECHANICAL POLISHING  
TEST STRUCTURES AND METHODS  
FOR INSPECTING THE SAME

OFFICIAL

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Quang D. Vu at facsimile telephone number (703) 872-9306 on July 6, 2004.

Printed Name: Natalie Morgan

Signed: AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Mail Stop Amendment  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.


The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	14	MINUS	53	00	x 9 =	x 18 = 00
Independent Claims	01	MINUS	03	00	x 43 =	x 86 = 00
			Total	\$		\$0

Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 500388.

Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. KLA1P016F).

Respectfully submitted,  
 BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

  
 Mary Ramos Olynick  
 Reg. No. 42,963

P.O. Box 778  
 Berkeley, CA 94704-0778

JUL. 6. 2004 2:55PM

BWT LLP (BERKELEY)

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 710 P. 3/6

JUL 06 2004

OFFICIAL

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Satya, et al.

Attorney Docket No.: KLA1P016F/P642

Application No.: 09/648,095

Examiner: Quang D. Vu

Filed: August 25, 2000


Group: 2811

Title: **CHEMICAL MECHANICAL POLISHING  
TEST STRUCTURES AND METHODS  
FOR INSPECTING THE SAME**

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Quang D. Vu at facsimile telephone number (703) 872-9306 on July 6, 2004.

Printed Name: Natalie Morgan

Signed: 

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner consider the following remarks in response to the Final Office Action dated 7 April 2004.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

09/648,095

1